

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,397		05/18/1999	DANIEL ROBERT GENTRY	P50593	6079
20462	7590	06/17/2003			
		ECHAM CORPO	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539				FORMAN, BETTY J	
KING OF	PRUSSIA,	PA 19406-0939	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1634	
				DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

and the second of the second o

	Application No.	Applicant(a)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/308,397	GENTRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN INO DATE - 1/1	BJ Forman	1634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however,  within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX ( cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  DOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	uly 2001 .						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under a <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 25-33,37-42 and 44-47 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>25-33,37-42 and 44-47</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	<u>.</u>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	<i>,</i>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:					

Application/Control Number: 09/308,397 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

2. This action is in response to papers filed 23 July 2001 in which claims 25, 30, 37, 39 and 44 were amended. All of the amendments have been thoroughly reviewed and entered. The previous rejections in the Office Action dated 19 April 2001 not reiterated below are withdrawn in view of the amendments and new grounds for rejections. All of the arguments have been thoroughly reviewed and are discussed below as they apply to the instant rejections. New grounds for rejection are discussed.

Claims 25-33, 37-42 and 44-47 are under prosecution.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 25-33, 37-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Application/Control Number: 09/308,397

Art Unit: 1634

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are broadly drawn to polynucleotide sequences comprising a sequence having 95% identity to the nucleotide sequence according to SEQ ID NO: 1; a full compliment of a polynucleotide sequence having 95% identity to a sequence according to SEQ ID NO: 1; and a polypeptide produced from the above polynucleotides. Such recitation encompasses an extremely large genus of mutants, variants and homologs of SEQ ID NO: 1, from any source, and further includes the complements of the large genus and the products translated from the large genus.

The specification does not teach the broadly claimed genus. Furthermore, the specification does not teach a representative number of species of the broadly claimed genus.

The specification teaches the polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification teach that SEQ ID NO: 1 encodes SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification teaches that the invention relates to isolated polynucleotides, including the full length gene, that encodes the FabD polypeptide (page 9, lines 29-30) and the specification teaches that polynucleotides broadly encompass any modified or unmodified single, double and triple stranded DNA and/or RNA (page 28, line 12-page 29, line 2).

However, the breadth of the claims encompass a large genus of mutants, variants and homologs of SEQ ID NO, from any source, that have not been taught or described by the specification. The recitation of a single SEQ ID NO is not representative of this large genus of nucleic acids.

The specification teaches SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2 but the specification does not teach a representative number of the claimed sequences in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art would reasonably conclude that inventor had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,397

Art Unit: 1634

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116.)

With the exception of SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 2, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed polynucleotides and/or proteins, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. The nucleic acid itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993), and Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016. In Fiddes v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Finally, University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co., 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1404, 1405 held that:

To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention." Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1989) (" [T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed."). Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." Lockwood, 107 F.3d at 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. Fiers v. Revel, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id. at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,397

Art Unit: 1634

# 35 U.S.C. 112: Second Paragraph

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 25-33, 37-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 25-33, 37-42 and 44-47 are indefinite in independent Claims 25, 30, 37, 39 and 44 because each of the independent claims recite "an isolated polynucleotide comprising a first polynucleotide". The recitation implies that the polynucleotide also comprises, at least, a second polynucleotide. However, a second polynucleotide is not described. Therefore, it is unclear what the polynucleotide comprises.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,397 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

8. Claims 25-33, 37-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kunsch et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,420,135, filed 30 October 1997 and 60/029,960 filed 31

October 1996).

Kunsch et al disclose the instantly claimed SEQ ID NO: 1 (see sequence listing, SEQ ID NO: 7). Furthermore, Kunsch et al disclose vectors, host cells and process of producing a polypeptide using the vector and host cells (Column 3, lines 15-Column 4, line 13). As such, Kunsch et al disclose the claimed isolated polynucleotides, vectors, host cells and processes for producing a polypeptide using the vector-containing host cell.

# Conclusion

9. No claim is allowed.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (703) 306-5878. The examiner can normally be

reached on 6:30 TO 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-8724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1634 June 16, 2003